



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/185,318	11/03/1998	W. MONTY REICHERT	2978.1US	5269
7590 04/07/2004			EXAMINER	
ALLEN C TURNER			CHIN, CHRISTOPHER L	
TRASK BRITT & ROSSA P O BOX 2550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE (SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110		1641	*
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/185,318	REICHERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chris L. Chin	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 January 2004.						
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 25-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-34 is/are withdrates 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 25-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/185,318

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention for the reasons of record in the previous office action.

In response to this rejection, Applicants point to page 23, lines 6-11, of the specification, to provide support for the limitations that were added to claim 25. Specifically, Applicants argue that page 23 of the specification clearly indicates that site-specific immobilization requires placement of a base coating onto desired regions of a substrate. Thereafter, the substrate is exposed to capture molecules. The capture molecules bind specifically to the sites on the substrate to which the base coating has been applied. Applicants also refer to Figures 1A through 2A for support.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not convincing. Page 23, lines 6-11 refer to the use of a base coating but not in the manner recited in claim 25. There is no teaching of immobilizing "site-specifically to substantially all regions of the at least one surface having a base coating thereon, the base coating being located only on portions of the at least one surface" in the portion of the specification cited by Applicants. Figures 1A-2A also do not support this manner of immobilization added to

Application/Control Number: 09/185,318

Art Unit: 1641

claim 25. These figures do not show the base coating recited in claim 25. At best,
Figure 1B shows a waveguide surface where portions of the surface are coated with a
specific binding reagent – strips (104). But there is no base coating shown on which the
specific binding reagent is attached. Page 10 of the specification discusses these
particular figures as pointed out by Applicants but there is no discussion of a base
coating. Page 10, lines 19-30, of the specification only discloses using a mask and
etching the waveguide surface to form the strips on which the specific binding reagent
are attached.

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/185,318

Art Unit: 1641

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 3. examiner should be directed to Chris L. Chin whose telephone number is 571-272-0815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Christopher L. Chin **Primary Examiner**

Christal L. Chi

Art Unit 1641

April 5, 2004